

REMARKS

Claims 3 – 5, 12 and 16 – 23 are now pending in the application. The Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw the rejection(s) in view of the amendments and remarks contained herein.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102 AND 103

Claims 3-5, 12, 16 and 17-21 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Kameya et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,128,588). Claim 23 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kameya et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 5,128,588). These rejections are respectfully traversed.

By the amendment, it is clarified that each of the metal foils having a corrugated structure is directly attached to the glass portion. Hence, applicants claims now fully distinguish over Kameya.


CONCLUSION

It is believed that all of the stated grounds of rejection have been properly traversed, accommodated, or rendered moot. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw all presently outstanding rejections. It is believed that a full and complete response has been made to the outstanding Office Action, and as such, the present application is in condition for allowance. Thus, prompt and favorable consideration of this amendment is respectfully requested. If the

Examiner believes that personal communication will expedite prosecution of this application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Aug 24, 2004

By: 
Gregory A. Stobbs
Reg. No. 28,764

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. Box 828
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303
(248) 641-1600

GAS/kk